

Office of the Provost

*University of
Florida*

*Institutional
Assessment*

*Continuous Quality
Enhancement*

Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences Academic Assessment Plan 2012-2013

College of Agricultural and Life Sciences

Dr. Gloria Moore
gamoore@ufl.edu

Dr. Laurie Trenholm
ltr@ufl.edu

Contents

2012-2013 Academic Assessment Plan for Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences	3
A. Mission	3
B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures.....	4
C. Research.....	6
D. Assessment Timeline.....	6
E. Assessment Cycle	7
F. Measurement Tools	7
G. Assessment Oversight	10

2012-2013 Academic Assessment Plan for Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences

College of Agricultural and Life Sciences

A. Mission

Overview, Mission Statement and Goals

The Horticultural Sciences Graduate Program is administered jointly by the Departments of Horticultural Sciences and Environmental Horticulture. These two departments are the core academic units that fulfill the University of Florida's land-grant mission related to fruit, vegetable, and ornamental crops. The departments maintain statewide responsibilities for teaching (undergraduate and graduate education), Cooperative Extension, and research programs. Statewide coordination of these responsibilities with other IFAS departments and Research & Education Centers belongs to the department Chairs.

The Horticultural Sciences graduate program supports the missions of the college and university to serve the nation's and state's critical needs by contributing to a well-qualified and broadly diverse citizenry, leadership and workforce through graduate education and to expand our understanding of the natural world, the intellect and the senses through graduate student research.

The goals of the Horticultural Sciences Program are consistent with the basic role of agriculture and reflect the needs of a changing world environment:

1. Teaching

- Provide undergraduate students with a scientific understanding of plant growth and development, sustainable horticulture production, harvest and postharvest biology and technology.
- Train graduate students with the basic scientific knowledge to teach effectively, to conduct significant research and to consult with the industry.
- Make a continuous, concerted effort to attract and maintain qualified teachers for the undergraduate and graduate Horticultural Sciences programs and to take positive measures to recruit and train the best possible students at both levels for their future careers in industry, business, academia, research, or extension.

2. Research

- Develop basic information on plant growth and development that is at the fore front of knowledge applicable immediately or in the future, through a balanced pool of research in the areas of breeding and genetics, biotechnology and molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology.
- Solve current technical problems facing the horticulture industries.
- Develop new information, materials and techniques to increase the efficiency of production, harvest and postharvest handling.

3. Extension

- Develop, adapt and disseminate research-based recommendations to the commercial horticulture industries through electronic resources and county extension faculty.
- Develop and disseminate recommendations to home gardeners and youth organizations through electronic resources and county extension faculty.

B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures

SLO Type	Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Degree Delivery
Knowledge	Describe and explain theories and concepts the various disciplines of Horticultural Sciences including understanding of plant physiology and plant genetics as related to horticultural plant growth and development, and the integration of structure and function of the whole plant.	Evaluation of the final examination and dissertation defense by the Supervisory Committee using a faculty-developed rubric.	Campus
Knowledge	Describe new techniques and technologies from associated disciplines.	Evaluation of the final examination and dissertation defense by the Supervisory Committee using a faculty-developed rubric.	Campus

Knowledge	Evaluate horticultural systems, components and/or processes to meet industry and societal needs within realistic economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability and sustainability constraints.	Evaluation of the final examination and dissertation defense by the Supervisory Committee using a faculty-developed rubric.	Campus
Skills	Design and conduct experiments required for successful production of fruits, vegetables and ornamental crops and analyze results.	Evaluation at regular meetings with the Supervisory Committee, on qualifying and final examinations, and in seminar presentations by the Supervisory Committee using a faculty-developed rubric.	Campus
Skills	Communicate horticultural ideas, technical data and design information clearly and professionally to other students, scientists and the public.	Evaluation at regular meetings with the Supervisory Committee, on qualifying and final examinations, and in seminar presentations by the Supervisory Committee using a faculty-developed rubric.	Campus
Professional Behavior	Display ethical behaviors, cultural sensitivity, teamwork skills and professional conduct.	1) Adherence to the UF Honor Code; 2) Observations by faculty of professional behavior during seminars, participation and presentations at professional meetings, in scientific writings and in interpersonal relationships. Observations will be reported to the Supervisory Committee and summarized in the annual evaluation.	Campus

C. Research

The Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences is a research degree. Accepted students are paired with a faculty major advisor, who is a member of the Graduate Faculty. The student's dissertation topic is selected based on the interests of the major advisor. Typically the student prepares a research proposal outlining the research to be completed. This is read and discussed with the major advisor, often in much iteration. Once both parties are satisfied with the proposal, it is given to the other members of the student's supervisory committee. The student will then arrange a committee meeting to discuss the proposed research. Regular meetings are planned with the student and his major advisor and supervisory committee. The culmination is a Ph.D. dissertation that is read and edited by the committee. This is followed by a final seminar and oral defense.

D. Assessment Timeline

Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences

College of Agricultural and Life Sciences

Assessment SLOs	Final Exam and Dissertation Defense	Annual Evaluation	Qualifying Exam	Presentations in Seminar
Knowledge				
#1	X			
#2	X			
#3	X			
Skills				
#4	X		X	X
#5	X		X	X
Professional Behavior				
#6		X		

E. Assessment Cycle

Assessment Cycle for:

Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences

Analysis and Interpretation:

Program Modifications:

Dissemination:

College of Agricultural and Life Sciences

May to June annually

Completed by August 15 of each year

Completed by September 15 of each year

SLOs	Year	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16
Content Knowledge					
#1		X	X	X	X
#2		X	X	X	X
#3		X	X	X	X
Skills					
#4		X	X	X	X
#5		X	X	X	X
Professional Behavior					
#6		X	X	X	X

F. Measurement Tools

Evaluation of dissertation defense: The evaluation is performed by the supervisory committee at a published meeting where all committee members must be present and other interested parties can attend. This is often preceded by an oral seminar by the student. Also, the student must present their dissertation to each committee member for reading and corrections. The committee members will have prepared questions to ask of the student at the final defense. These frequently pertain to the dissertation, although other questions are not prohibited. These questions are usually asked and answered verbally. After each of the advisory committee members have asked their questions, the student is directed to leave the room and his performance is evaluated by the committee members. If everyone is satisfied with the student's performance, all of the committee members sign a form stating this. Few students fail at this point; if the process is working properly, a struggling student will be identified before this point.

Evaluation of progress in coursework and scholarly activities: Each student is evaluated at least annually by the major professor, and more often if the advisor thinks it is necessary. A verbal meeting is held, followed by a written assessment, which is placed in the student's file. The student has the ability to respond to any comments, in writing, which also become part of the permanent record. Progress in coursework is also assessed by the student's GPA. If a student is not making good progress on their research project or is not performing their work effectively in the lab, they may get an unsatisfactory grade for research credits.

Evaluation of professional behavior: In courses, all students are made aware of the UF Honor Code, the seriousness of violating the code is discussed, and adherence to the code is monitored. Professional behavior will be evaluated, especially by the major advisor and the graduate Supervisory Committee during seminars, participation and presentations at professional meetings, scientific writings and in interpersonal relationships; concerns are noted on the annual evaluation.

Example:

Rubric for Use in Oral Defense Examinations for the PhD in Horticultural Sciences

Name of candidate: _____

Criteria	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
1. Problem Definition: Delineates the area of research investigated, including new techniques and technologies as appropriate. (SLO2)		
2. Literature: Describes and explains theories and concepts relevant to the research area and its literature. (SLO 1)		
3. Quality of oral communication: Communicates horticultural ideas, technical data and design information clearly and professionally in oral form. (SLO 5)		
4. Quality of written communication: Communicates horticultural clearly and professional in written form.		
5. Quality of research (worth 2 X): Designs and conducts independent research in the area of study and accurately analyzes results. (SLO 4)		
6. Context: Places the research completed into a larger context and discusses potential for further research and application, particularly as related to industry and societal needs. (SLO 3)		

Passed _____

Did not pass _____

Committee Chair: _____ Signature _____

Committee Member: _____ Signature _____

Committee Member: _____ Signature _____

Committee Member: _____ Signature _____

Committee Member: _____ Signature _____

Committee Member: _____ Signature _____

Date: _____

G. Assessment Oversight

Name	Department Affiliation	Email Address	Phone Number
Gloria A. Moore, Graduate Coordinator	Horticultural Sciences	gamoore@ufl.edu	352-273-4786
Laurie Trenholm, Graduate Coordinator	Environmental Horticulture	letr@ufl.edu	352-273-4524
Christine D. Chase	Horticultural Sciences	cdchase@ufl.edu	352-273-4862
Donald J. Huber	Horticultural Sciences	djhuber@ufl.edu	352-273-4779
Mercy Olmsted	Horticultural Sciences	mercy1@ufl.edu	352-273-4772